

# Homework 3

A. Chorin

February 14, 2005

Homework 3 (due Wed. Feb 16)

1. Suppose you have  $n$  independent samples  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of a random variable  $\eta$ ; show that if  $m = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ , then  $(1/n) \sum (x_i - m)^2$  is not an unbiased estimate of the variance of  $\eta$ , while  $(1/(n-1)) \sum (x_i - m)^2$  is an unbiased estimate. Suggestion: To see what is going on, try first the case  $n = 2$ . Note: these calculations are independent of any assumed form for the density.

2. Consider a vector valued Gaussian random variable  $\xi_1, \xi_2$ , with pdf

$$f(x_1, x_2) = f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \exp(-(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}))/2), \quad (1)$$

where  $A$  is a symmetric positive definite matrix. Show that  $\alpha = \sqrt{\det A}$  and  $A = C^{-1}$ , where  $C$  is the covariance matrix.

3. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P)$  be a probability space,  $A$  an event with  $P(A) > 0$ , and  $P_A(B) = P(B|A)$  for every event  $B$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Show that  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, P_A)$  satisfies all the axioms for a probability space.

4. let  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  be two random variables with joint pdf  $Z^{-1} \exp(-x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_1^2 x_2^2)$ , where  $Z$  is a normalization constant. Evaluate  $E[\eta_1 \eta_2^2 | \eta_1]$ .

5. Let  $\eta$  be the number that comes up when you throw a die. Evaluate  $E[\eta | (\eta - 3)^2]$  (you may want to present it as a table of its values for different values of  $\eta$ ).

6. Suppose  $\eta$  is a random variable such that  $\eta = 0$  with probability  $p$  and  $\eta = 1$  with probability  $1 - p$ . Suppose your prior distribution of  $p$  is  $P(p = 1/2) = 0.5$  and  $P(p = 3/4) = 0.5$ . Now you make an experiment and find  $\eta = 1$ . What is the posterior distribution of  $p$ ? Suppose you make another, independent, experiment, and find again  $\eta = 1$ . What happens to the posterior distribution? Suppose you keep on making experiments and keep on finding  $\eta = 1$ . What happens to the posterior distributions? Why does this make sense?